



must allege “enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). “[D]etailed factual allegations” are not required, but mere “labels and conclusions” or “a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action” are not enough. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). On a motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), a court “accept[s] the allegations in the complaint as true and constru[es] them in the light most favorable to the plaintiff.” *Hill v. White*, 321 F.3d 1334, 1335 (11th Cir. 2003).

While Plaintiff’s allegations against Defendant Martinez are thin, they do recite personal conduct and inaction by Martinez that is alleged to be part of Plaintiff’s overall claims. As such, the Complaint is adequate to survive a motion to dismiss. Of course, the issue of Martinez’ personal responsibility may be revisited on a motion for summary judgment upon fuller development of the record and, like all matters, the allegations are covered by Rule 11, Fed. R. Civ. P.

It is **ORDERED** that the parties shall file any objections to this Recommendation on or before December 14, 2017. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge’s Recommendation to which the party objects. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the Magistrate Judge’s report shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). *See Stein v. Reynolds Sec., Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982).

**Respectfully recommended**, this 30th day of November, 2017.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DAVID A. BAKER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE